114_	007	RangeProof
_		-

Let **p** = 11

Let Unspent Transactions Outputs - UTxO are made in bitcoins - BTC and as toy example take *p* = 11



The balance equation is:	The balance equation is:
2+ 3 = 5 mod 11 = 1+4 = 5 mod 11	2+ 3 = 5 mod 11 = 7+9 = 16 mod 11 = 5

4.3 Range proofs.

How to prove that Alice spends the same sum $Ex = m_3 + m_4 = 5 = m_1 + m_2 = In$.

We will deal with Elliptic Curves (EC), Elliptic Curve Groups (ECG) and Elliptic Curve Cryptosystem (ECCS)

Elliptic Curve Group (ECG)
Number of points N of Elliptic Curve with coordinates (x, y) is an order of ECG.
Addition operation \boxplus of points in ECG: let points $P(x_P, y_P)$ and $Q(x_Q, y_Q)$ are in EC with coordinates (x_P, y_P) and (x_Q, y_Q) then $P \boxplus Q = T$ with coordinates (x_T, y_T) in EC.
Neutral element is group zero O at the infinity (∞) of [XOY] plane.
Multiplication of any EC point G by scalar $x: A = x * G; A = G \boxplus G \boxplus G \boxplus \boxplus G; x$ -times.
Generator–Base Point G: ECG={ $i*G$; $i=1,2,,N$ }; $N*G=0$ and $q*G\neq 0$ if $q.$
$\bigcup_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} y_i = 0 \text{ and } q^* \cup \neq 0 \text{ if } q < i \text{.}$

Elliptic Curve Cryptosystem (ECCS)

PP =(EC secp256k1; BasePoint-Generator <i>G</i> ; prime <i>p</i> ; param. <i>a</i> , <i>b</i>);
Parameters a , b defines EC equation $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b \mod p$ over F_p .
PrK _{ECC} =x;
>> x =randi(<i>p</i> -1).
$\mathbf{PuK}_{\mathbf{ECC}} = \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{G} \boxplus \mathbf{G} \boxplus \mathbf{G} \boxplus \mathbf{G} \boxplus \dots \boxplus \mathbf{G}; \mathbf{x} - \text{times}.$
Alice A: $x = \frac{1}{1}, A = (x_A, y_A);$
Let r < randi(p) be a secret number.
Let $H = r * G$ be the other Generator in FC

Both G and H are Public Parameters PP = (G, H) together with all others for Range Proofs.

We will use the following identities valid in EC algebra.

Let u, v are integers < p. Property 1: $(u + v)*P = u*P \boxplus v*P$ in literature it is replaced to $--> \frac{(u + v)P = uP + vP}{u(P + Q) = uP + uQ}$ Property 2: $u*(P \boxplus Q) = u*P \boxplus u*Q$ in literature it is replaced to $--> \frac{(u + v)P = uP + vP}{u(P + Q) = uP + uQ}$ Property 3: $u*T \boxminus u*T = u*(T \boxminus T) = u*O = O;$ $u*T \boxminus u*T = (u-u)*T = 0*T = O.$



For incomes $In = 5 = 101_b = (b_2 b_1 b_0)_b = b_2 \cdot 2^2 + b_1 \cdot 2^1 + b_0 \cdot 2^0 = 1 \cdot 2^2 + 0 \cdot 2^1 + 1 \cdot 2^0 = 5$.

Generate random numbers x_2 , x_1 , x_0 in Z_N (the set of positive integers do not exceeding the *number N* of points of Elliptic Curve) to be used as blinding factors.

Define also Pedersen Commitments **C_2**, **C_1**, **C_0** for each **b_2**, **b_1**, **b_0**:

 $C_2 = \mathbf{x}_2 * \mathbf{G} \boxplus (\mathbf{b}_2 \cdot 2^2) * \mathbf{H}.$ $C_1 = \mathbf{x}_1 * \mathbf{G} \boxplus (\mathbf{b}_1 \cdot 2^1) * \mathbf{H}.$ $C_0 = \mathbf{x}_0 * \mathbf{G} \boxplus (\mathbf{b}_0 \cdot 2^0) * \mathbf{H}.$

Then **x**₂, **x**₁, **x**₀ will always be a private keys **PrK**₂, **PrK**₁, **PrK**₀ to one part of the following public keys **PuK**₂, **PuK**₁, **PuK**₀ respectively consisting of 2 components

 $PrK_2 = x_2;$ $PuK_2 = (PuK_{21}, PuK_{22}) = (C_2, C_2 \Box (b_2 \cdot 2^2) * H).$ $PrK_1 = x_1;$ $PuK_1 = (PuK_{11}, PuK_{12}) = (C_1, C_1 \Box (b_1 \cdot 2^1) * H).$

PrK₀ = x_0 ; **PuK**₀ = (**PuK**₀₁, **PuK**₀₂) = (C_0 , $C_0 \square$ (b_0 •2⁰)*H).

Clearly, in general for i = 2, 1, 0, if $b_i = 0 \longrightarrow C_i = \mathbf{x}_i * G \boxplus 0 * H = \mathbf{x}_i * G$ if $b_i = 1 \longrightarrow C_i = \mathbf{x}_i * G \boxplus (1 \cdot 2^i) * H \boxminus (1 \cdot 2^i) * H = \mathbf{x}_i * G$.

In our case when $(\boldsymbol{b}_2 \, \boldsymbol{b}_1 \, \boldsymbol{b}_0)_b = (101)_b$ we have:

$b_2 = 1 >$	PrK₂ = x ₂ ;	$PuK_2 = (PuK_{22}) = (\mathbf{C}_2 \boxminus (1 \cdot 2^2) * \mathbf{H}) = \mathbf{x}_2 * \mathbf{G} \boxplus (1 \cdot 2^2) * \mathbf{H} \boxminus (1 \cdot 2^2) * \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{x}_2 * \mathbf{G}.$
$b_1 = 0$ >	PrK ₁ = x ₁ ;	$PuK_1 = (PuK_{11}) = (C_1 \boxminus (0 \cdot 2^1) * H) = x_1 * G \boxplus (0 \cdot 2^1) * H = x_1 * G.$
b ₀ = 1>	PrK₀ = x ₀ ;	$PuK_0 = (PuK_{02}) = (C_2 \boxminus (1 \cdot 2^0) * H) = x_0 * G \boxplus (1 \cdot 2^0) * H \boxminus (1 \cdot 2^0) * H = x_0 * G.$

In our case for incomes *In* = 5 we have:

$$C = C_2 \boxplus C_1 \boxplus C_0 = x_2 * G \boxplus (b_2 \cdot 2^2) * H \boxplus x_1 * G \boxplus (b_1 \cdot 2^1) * H \boxplus x_0 * G \boxplus (b_0 \cdot 2^0) * H =$$

= $(x_2 + x_1 + x_0) * G \boxplus ((1 \cdot 2^2) + (0 \cdot 2^1) + (1 \cdot 2^0)] * H =$
= $(x_2 + x_1 + x_0) * G \boxplus (1 \cdot 2^2 + 0 \cdot 2^1 + 1 \cdot 2^0) * H =$
= $(x_2 + x_1 + x_0) * G \boxplus (5 * H).$

In other words, a blinding factor x_i will always be the private key corresponding to one of $\{C_i, C_i - 2^i H\}$. Therefore we will be able to sign an amount a in a transaction using the Borromean Ring Signature scheme of Section 3.4 with the ring:

$$\{\{C_0, C_0 - 2^0 H\}, ..., \{C_k, C_k - 2^k H\}\}$$

4.4 Range proofs in a blockchain

In the context of Monero we will use range proofs to commit to individual bit components and to prove that their sum equals the total amount committed. Therefore, it will not be necessary for the receiver nor any other party to know the blinding factors x_iG . In other words, it is sufficient to know that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\kappa} C_i = C$$

In the blockchain we will store only the commitments/keys C_i . The mining community will have to check that the equation above holds and that the private key of either C_i or $C_i - 2^i H$ has been used to sign the amount.

The Borromean signature scheme requires knowledge of x_i to produce a signature. In consequence, upon verifying this relationship between keys, any third party will be able to convince himself that amounts fall within ranges and that money is not being artificially created.



In the context of Monero we will use range proofs to commit to individual bit components and
to prove that their sum equals the total amount committed. Therefore, it will not be necessary for the second s
but the receiver not any outer party to know the billioung factors and, in other words, it is so is a cent to know that
Xk
u = c
to check that the equation above holds and that the private key of either Ci or Ci 🛛 2iH has
been used to sign the amount.
I ne borromean signature scheme requires knowledge of xi to produce a signature. In consequence, Juona verifiving this relationship between keys. any thing andly and pail to each of the solution of the solu
himself that amounts fall within ranges and that money is not being arti
cially created.